

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/025,570	MATSUURA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert Madsen	1761	

All Participants:

Status of Application: n/a

(1) Robert Madsen.

(3) _____

(2) Roland Long.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 27 June 2005

Time: n/a

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

To place the case in condition for allowance the Examiner proposed inserting "entire" before "inside surface" in line 6 of Claim 1. Applicant's Representative agreed to the proposed amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)